

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,405	10/15/2003	John W. Normand	20020042 US	8606	
22500	7590 08/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
21120101	EMS INFORMATION	BAKER,	BAKER, PAUL A		
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS INTEGRATION INC. 65 SPIT BROOK ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 80	58 NHQ1-719	2188			
NASHUA, NH 03061-0868			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/687,405	NORMAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul A. Baker	2188				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/687,405

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muehl et al. US PGPUB 2004/0024501 in view of Richer US Patent 6,408,258.

Muehl discloses a method for tracking and recording metric parameters comprising hours of use (paragraph 7), operating conditions (paragraph 42), and replacement history (paragraph 34) for a replaceable component of an air, land, or sea vehicle (figure 1) comprising the steps of:

- (a) positioning an Electrically Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory (EEPROM) Chip in the replaceable component or said air, land, or sea vehicle item in paragraph 13, in paragraph 2 Muehl discloses the tag may comprise a memory card, which is a physical format for an EEPROM;
- (b) automatically tracking and recording all of said parameters comprising hours of use, operating conditions, and replacement history in the

Art Unit: 2188

EEPROM, in paragraph 37 showing a means for a sensor to provide real time data to the tag; and

(c) reading said parameter comprising hours of use, operating conditions, , and replacement history recorded in step (b) into a database, whereby data relating to said replaceable component is automatically tracked, thus resulting in reliability data for said replaceable component in paragraph 43.

While Muehl's disclosure provides disclosure to providing a wealth of information pertinent to a device of an air vehicle, Muehl does not explicitly disclose incorporating the installed time and number of uses.

Richer discloses a means for anticipating the maintenance schedule of aircraft engines, more particularly how the repair schedule may deviate from the normal schedule according to the specific operating conditions of the individual engine. Richer discloses in column 1 lines 44-58 the number of cycles of an aircraft engine has an effect on the performance and needed service time of an engine, this is equivalent to applicant's number of uses. Richer also discloses recording and displaying the time since new in column 6 lines 6-7, which is equivalent to applicants installed time. Since Richer discloses these two metrics are important to provide an accurate assessment of the wear and tear of the engine and subsequently its recommended inspection and overhaul (first paragraph of the background), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include these parameter's in Muehl's invention.

Art Unit: 2188

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Baker whose telephone number is (571)272-4203. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571)272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2188

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PB

MANO PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER

Hans Radmanosh